

From: ["Shea, Valois" </O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE:GROUP \(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT\)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A4217A71307D4429B7BDC7C80EB40C7D-SHEA.VALOIS>](mailto:Shea.Valois@EPA.gov)

To: [Olson](mailto:Olson@state.sd.us)
["Paige <Paige.Olson@state.sd.us>; 'Kelly Yasaitis Fanizzo" <kfanizzo@achp.gov>](mailto:Paige.Olson@state.sd.us)

CC:

Date: 12/9/2013 2:55:12 PM

Subject: list of Tribes we sent the invitation letter and example letter

Attachments: [EPAUIC_NHPA106InviteLetterFig1.pdf](#)
[EPAUIC_NHPA106InviteLetterFig2.pdf](#)
[EPAUIC_NHPA106InviteLetterFig3.pdf](#)
[EPAUIC_NHPA106InviteLetterFig4.pdf](#)
[ListofTribesReceivingEPAInvitationLetterforNHPA106Consultation.pdf](#)
[RosebudSiouxEPA106InviteLetter.pdf](#)
[The List of Tribes Contacted for Invitation to 106 Consultation.docx](#)

The info and suggestions were very helpful this morning. Attached is the list of tribes I mails invitation letters to compared with NRC's list. Also attached are the letters and figure.

I exchanged emails with Mike Boydston, our NHPA attorney. As far as engaging ACHP for technical guidance versus a formal participation, he suggests that we continue with the request for guidance and see how our process unfolds and request formal participation if needed.

He also asked me to check with you, Kelly, on something our EPA HQ liaison, Matt Nowakowski, said:

Matt told Mike that he thinks we don't need to sign the PA, but can just reference the measures agreed to in it, if that turns out to be useful. If we take that approach, we don't need any language about EPA in the PA.

I guess I am a little uncomfortable with that approach. If it is beneficial for us to sign at some point in the future, the clause would insure the option is there. Please let me know what you both think about that.

Thanks!

Valois Shea
US EPA Region 8
Mail Code: 8P-W-UIC
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202-1129

phone: 303-312-6276

fax: 303-312-6741

<http://www.epa.gov/region08/water/uic>